



Guidelines and Instructions for Completing Certificate and Minor Assessment Reports Cycle: 2022-2023

Academic Program Assessment

The University of Southern Mississippi (USM) conducts annual assessments at the program-level, including certificates and minors, to document support of *SACSCOC Principles of Accreditation Section 8: Student Achievement*.

Student learning and student success are at the core of the mission of all institutions of higher learning. Effective institutions focus on the design and improvement of educational experiences to enhance student learning and support student learning outcomes for its education programs.

Standard 8.2:

The institution identifies expected outcomes, assesses the extent to which it achieves these outcomes, and provides evidence of seeking improvements based on analysis of the results in the areas below:

Standard 8.2 a:

For each of its educational programs.

Important Updates

- The **due date** for all 2022-2023 assessment reports is **September 1, 2023**. All data and supplemental documentation must be entered into Weave by this date. Additionally, the status of the report must be set as “Complete” on Weave.
- **Each finding must include an analysis.**
- **Action plans must be entered into Weave for “not met” targets.**
- For certificates/minors that met all their targets, continuous improvement initiatives/action plans are still required at least every other year.
- **Measures must explain the evaluation method used.** If a rubric has been attached to the report as evidence for the evaluation method used, please reference the rubric in the measures section.
- **Measures must have findings.** If a measure had no data collected for the 2022-2023 cycle, it will need to be updated to include a different measure.
- **Certificates/Minors** must include the *Annual Program and Action Plan Analysis* document as a project attachment.

Certificate and Minor Assessment Plan and Report Guidelines

Assessment Plan Guidelines

- A. All USM certificates and stand-alone minors on the IHL Academic Program Inventory assess student-learning.
- B. Certificate and minor assessment plans must have a minimum of two (2) Student Learning Outcomes (SLOs).
- C. SLOs must show progressive distinction between degree levels (undergraduate, graduate) in the same academic unit.
- D. Graduate certificate SLOs and measures must demonstrate: 1) knowledge of the literature of the discipline and 2) ongoing student engagement in research and/or appropriate professional practice and training experiences.
- E. Each SLO must have one (1) direct measure and one (1) target.
- F. Overall course grades are **NOT** acceptable measures, direct or indirect.

Report Guidelines

Certificates and stand-alone minors must assess annually. The following components are required for a complete certificate or minor assessment report:

- 1. **Program Description:** A brief description of the certificate/minor. How will this certificate/minor benefit students? What will students be able to do because of this certificate/minor. Please include the number of hours required for the minor, delivery location (Hattiesburg, Gulf Park), and mode of delivery (face-to-face, online).
- 2. **Student Learning Outcomes:** *All certificates and minors must identify a minimum of two (2) SLOs, and each SLO must be assessed by at least one (1) direct measure.*
 - a. Please include all Student Learning Outcomes under the first “Outcome Type” box titled “Student Learning Outcomes.” In this way, all SLOs will be under “1 Outcome Type.” An example is shown below:

| |
|---|
| Outcome Type |
| 1 Outcome Type Student Learning Outcomes |
| DESCRIPTION leave empty |
| Outcomes |
| 1.1 Outcome SLO: TITLE |
| DESCRIPTION SLO 1 description. |

3. **Measures and Targets:** A measure identifies evidence and methods used to determine achievement of expected outcomes. Measures should be detailed and specific. Targets are the quantitative criteria for how success will be measured. Measures can be direct (i.e., directly measure/evaluate a student's work – exams, papers, portfolios, projects, etc.), or they can be indirect (i.e., methods that reflect on students' learning – interviews, surveys, etc.). Each SLO must be assessed using at least one (1) direct measure. Measures and targets should show progressive distinction between degree levels.
 - a. Targets should be aspirational. The goal of assessment is to continuously improve the program and student learning.
4. **Findings:** Findings are the results of the program's measures. *Findings must be reported separately by site/mode of delivery and include all sites and/or modes.* Sample size must be included. If sampling is used, a detailed description of the sampling method must be included. All semesters must be included (Fall, Spring, and if applicable, Summer and intersession). Findings should explicitly state what semesters the data is from. After the findings have been entered, change the Target status to "met" or "not met."
5. **Analysis of Findings:** The results are analyzed to determine whether or not student learning has been achieved and provide direction for continuous improvement. If a target is "not met," what can be done to improve student learning? Have actions already been taken to improve student learning? For targets which are "met," what action plans were implemented that led to this result? What best practices are in place? What challenges remain or have been overcome? Do new targets or measures need to be chosen now that the target has been met? Remember, the goal of assessment is continuous improvement.
 - a. Stating that the target was "met" and no further action is to be taken is not an acceptable analysis.
6. **Action Plans:** A new action plan is required at least every other year and if a target is not met. Action plans must be entered into Weave for targets that were "not met" or "partially met." Action plans may be specific to individual student learning outcomes or program-level action plans. An action plan is an organized activity undertaken to help programs more effectively achieve intended outcomes, or an activity developed by faculty to improve the program for the future. The results of action plans should provide evidence of continuous improvement activities and improve student learning. Action plans do not contain vague phrases such as "we will look into this" or "no action required." If an action plan has been completed and the loop has been closed on a previous report, it no longer needs to be included in the assessment reports.
 - a. In Weave action plans are tied to measures and/or outcomes. Action plans that are not specific to an outcome should be entered into the Outcome Type "Action Plans." Please ignore the "budge source" prompt; unfortunately, it cannot be removed from the Weave platform.
 - b. If an Action Plan was completed in the previous year and discussed in the closing the loop narrative section, it should be deleted from this year's report. To delete the action plan, select the three dots and delete the section.
7. **Analysis Document:** The *Annual Program and Action Plan Analysis* document must be completed and included as a project attachment.

Detailed Analysis and Program Impact Reporting

A separate Word document, *Annual Program and Action Plan Analysis*, will be provided to you. Additional details are included below. **This Word document must be completed and attached to your project in WEAVE for your project to be considered complete.**

Program Inventory Review/Program Relevance

Please do not complete the enrollment/graduation data tables for certificates and minors in the *Annual Program and Action Plan Analysis* document.

Continuous Improvement and Closing the Loop

Minors and certificates are required to complete the following: enrollment analysis, comments on current action plans that are in-progress, and comments on completed action plans.

Program-level analysis should provide an overview of student strengths and weaknesses that were observed during the year. Do students struggle with certain SLOs? Do students do well with certain SLOs? Will any course-wide changes be implemented in the next year to improve student learning?

Updates should be provided for *current action plans*, action plans that were established in previous cycles and are “in-progress.” What actions from this plan have already been implemented? Have any changes/improvements been noticed, thus far? What will be done next; what are the next steps in the plan?

“*Closing the loop*” is the last step in the assessment cycle. Previously, the certificate/minor's findings were analyzed, and that information was used to inform action (action plans) for improvement. Now, it is important to know: was our action plan successful? If not, what new efforts (new action plans) should we implement? To evaluate if an action plan was successful, compare the new assessment report results to the initial results (before action plan).

Resources

If you have any questions regarding the assessment plan and guidelines or Weave, please contact Claire Blackwell (Claire.Blackwell@usm.edu), the Institutional Assessment Coordinator. Additionally, [the Office of Institutional Effectiveness website](#) contains resources regarding program assessment, navigating weave, and SACSCOC requirements.

Best Practice / Tips

- Enter data into Weave after each semester; do not wait until close to the due date to enter all data.
- Discuss the assessment report with other members of your school. Let faculty know the resorts of the report and the identified areas for improvement.
- Have a variety of measures. Use multiple assignments instead of one or two to measure all SLOs.
- See the previous year's Undergraduate Program-Level overview report and Graduate Program-Level overview report for examples of various components of the report.

Reviewing and Submitting the Report

- Proof-read the report; check for grammatical errors, spelling errors, and typos. Remove any “empty/blank” sections that are not used.
- Use the provided checklist, *Minor and Certificate Complete Report Checklist*, to ensure all parts of the report are complete.
- It is encouraged to compare the report to the Review Committee quality rubric.
- Have the School director and/or dean review the report, if applicable.
- Change the status of the project to “Complete” in Weave.

Thank you for your efforts and commitment to continuous improvement!